

Exploiting geometric degrees of freedom in topological quantum computing

Haitan Xu¹ and Xin Wan^{2,1}

¹*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China and*

²*Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics,
Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea*

In a topological quantum computer, braids of non-Abelian anyons in a (2+1)-dimensional space-time form quantum gates, whose fault tolerance relies on the topological, rather than geometric, properties of the braids. Here we propose to create and exploit redundant geometric degrees of freedom to improve the theoretical accuracy of topological single- and two-qubit quantum gates. We demonstrate the power of the idea using explicit constructions in the Fibonacci model. We compare its efficiency with that of the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm and explain its connection to the leakage errors reduction in an earlier construction [Phys. Rev. A 78, 042325 (2008)].

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological quantum computation is a rapidly developing subject in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4]. In this novel scheme of quantum computation, information is stored in topological quantum states and intrinsically protected from local noises, and manipulation of quantum information is achieved by topological operations. A prototypical topological quantum computer is envisaged to be a system of exotic quasiparticles called non-Abelian anyons, which are believed to exist in various two-dimensional quantum systems [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. A multiple of these anyons with fixed coordinates span a multi-dimensional Hilbert space, which can be used to construct qubits or encode quantum information [18, 19, 20]. Schemes have been proposed to control and move anyons microscopically [21, 22, 23]. The world-lines of these anyons intertwine in (2+1)-dimensional space-time forming braids, which are quantum gates for topological quantum computation.

In earlier studies [24, 25, 26, 27], researchers developed the method of brute-force search (and its variant) among braids within given braid length (measured by the number of exchanges) to achieve a generic single-qubit quantum gate in the Fibonacci anyon model (which may be realizable in fractional quantum Hall systems [12, 28]), and then constructed controlled-rotation gates from single-qubit gates. These works explicitly demonstrated the equivalence between a specific theoretical realization of topological quantum computer and a universal quantum computer model [2]. In general, a single-qubit quantum gate can be represented by a 2×2 unitary matrix

$$G = e^{i\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{-i\beta} & be^{i\gamma} \\ -be^{-i\gamma} & \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{i\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where b , α , β and γ are real parameters. Apart from the overall phase factor $e^{i\alpha}$, one needs three parameters b , β and γ to specify the matrix. Within a given braid length, there are only a finite number of topological quantum gates, which form a discrete set in the $U(2)$ space, thus generic gates can only be realized with a distribution (wide on logarithmic scale) of error even in the ideal scenario (without technical or practical hindrance), due

to the discrete nature of braid topology. This contrasts to many proposals of conventional quantum computation, where quantum gates can be realized by continuously tuning physical parameters so generic quantum gates are expected to be realized with only a narrow distribution of error (due to technical imperfections). On the other hand, the discreteness (thus error) in the realization of quantum gates with braids of finite length shares the same origin as the fault tolerance of topological quantum computation, as quantum states and quantum gates (braids) are topological and robust against local perturbations. This therefore poses an interesting question: How can we efficiently find the braid with finite length that approximates a desired quantum gate with error as small as possible?

In a recent work, the authors proposed a novel construction of low-leakage topological quantum computation based on the principle of error reduction by error introduction [26]. In topological quantum computation, the leakage errors in two-qubit gates one wants to minimize is often of topological origin, e.g., arising from the existence of noncomputational states. Nevertheless, one may find a class of equivalent braid constructions characterized by an additional geometric degree of freedom in braid segments, which can be said to correspond to a $U(1)$ symmetry of the construction. In practice, however, due to the discreteness of braids in the target space, such a symmetry is merely a pseudo symmetry. One finds that some of the constructions can have exponentially smaller errors than others – they are exactly what we want to find. The successful application of the principle in the Fibonacci anyon model led to the discovery of an exchange braid (with a length of 99) that exchanges anyons between two different qubits, which can be used to construct generic controlled-rotation gates with leakage error as small as 10^{-9} . However, the idea can not be directly applied to construct single-qubit gates because apparently there is no such geometric freedom.

In this paper, we generalize the idea that errors in topological gate construction can be reduced by the introduction of an additional geometric redundancy (or symmetry) [26] and show that it can also be applied to the construction of generic single-qubit gates with unprece-

dedent efficiency and accuracy in theory. We demonstrate this idea explicitly in the Fibonacci model, though it is applicable in generic models that support universal topological quantum computation. By introducing new degrees of freedom with unitary similarity transformation, we show a generic single-qubit gate can be approximated to a distance [29] of the order 10^{-10} by a braid of length ~ 300 , which is more efficient than a direct application of the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm [25]. We also discuss the significant reduction of leakage error by error introduction in a parallel construction of two-qubit controlled-gates (see also Ref. [27, 30]) to demonstrate the power and generality of the idea of topological error reduction by exploiting redundant geometric degrees of freedom.

II. SINGLE-QUBIT GATE CONSTRUCTION

Let us first discuss the high-accuracy construction of a generic single-qubit gate G represented by Eq. (1). To create additional degrees of freedom needed for error reduction, one is tempted to decompose the target gate as $G = G_1 G_2$, where

$$G_{1,2} = e^{i\alpha_{1,2}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b_{1,2}^2} e^{-i\beta_{1,2}} & b_{1,2} e^{i\gamma_{1,2}} \\ -b_{1,2} e^{-i\gamma_{1,2}} & \sqrt{1-b_{1,2}^2} e^{i\beta_{1,2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

are unitary matrices, or quantum gates. Unfortunately, the degrees of freedom of the two gates are dependent, as can be immediately seen from $G_1 = G G_2^\dagger$, i.e., one of the gate (e.g., G_1) is completely fixed by the other gate (e.g., G_2) up to an unimportant global phase factor. Therefore, one cannot use the new degrees of freedom for gate optimization. In fact, this decomposition of G is the mathematical structure of the bidirectional search [26], which facilitate the search for twice longer braids without a significant increase in CPU power.

In fact, to create separable degrees of freedom with two gates, we can write $G = G_1 G_2 G_1^\dagger$, a unitary similarity transformation, which creates geometric redundancy. It is easier to visualize the transformation in terms of rotation in three dimensions, thanks to the homomorphism between the groups $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$. This means that a rotation around an arbitrary axis l by an angle θ on a Bloch sphere can be carried out by first rotating l to another direction l' , then rotating around l' by an angle θ , and finally rotating l' back to l . The geometric interpretation clearly indicates that the freedom in the choice of l' can be exploited to optimize the single-qubit gate G , because these apparently equivalent realizations use different braids to approximate the target gate with entirely different accuracy.

We can use a phase gate P (a diagonal matrix) to illustrate the determination of G_1 and G_2 without loss of generality. This is because, according to the spectral theorem for normal matrices, any unitary matrix G can be unitarily diagonalized as $G = S^\dagger P S$, where S is a

unitary matrix. We can then contract S with G_1 , so that $P = \tilde{G}_1 G_2 \tilde{G}_1^\dagger$, where $\tilde{G}_1 = S G_1$.

For concreteness, let us assume

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

which is a rotation around the z axis by an angle β . The parameters $b_{1,2}$, $\beta_{1,2}$ and $\gamma_{1,2}$ of G_1 and G_2 that decompose P must, therefore, satisfy

$$(1 - b_2^2)^{1/2} \cos \beta_2 = \cos \beta, \quad (4)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{b_2}{\sqrt{2 \sin^2 \beta + 2(1 - b_2^2)^{1/2} \sin \beta_2 \sin \beta}}, \quad (5)$$

$$\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 + (k + 1/2)\pi, \quad (6)$$

where the integer k is even for positive $\sin \beta$ or odd for negative $\sin \beta$ (we exclude the case $\sin \beta = 0$ when the corresponding gate is proportional to the identity). From Eq. (4) we can see that G_2 is a rotation of the same angle as that of P but around a new axis, which is related to the original rotation axis of P by Eqs. (5) and (6). Nevertheless, when G_2 is fixed, G_1 is only partially determined by G_2 and P and still has a degree of freedom (between β_1 and γ_1). In other words, the similarity transformation $G_1 G_2 G_1^\dagger$ has an $SU(2)$ symmetry, from which we have three free parameters to choose. G_1 , the rotation of z -axis to a new axis, has a $U(1)$ symmetry (i.e., one free parameter), while G_2 , fixing the direction of the new axis, has a symmetry of $SU(2)/U(1) \sim S^2$ (i.e., two free parameters). Hence, we can successfully separate the three degrees of freedom into two parts in G_1 and G_2 , which allow us to efficiently search for high-accuracy single-qubit gates.

As an explicit demonstration of the algorithm, we construct a phase gate

$$P_1 = e^{i7\pi/5} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i2\pi/5} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i2\pi/5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi/5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

in the Fibonacci anyon model (please refer to Refs. [26, 31] for details of this model), where there are two types of anyons with topological charges 0 (vacuum) and 1 (Fibonacci anyon) satisfying a nontrivial fusion rule $1 \times 1 = 0 + 1$. We use two pairs of Fibonacci anyons with total charge 0 to encode one bit of quantum information. The basis states are chosen as $|0\rangle = |((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle$, where the subscripts specify the fusion results (or total topological charges) of the anyons in the preceding brackets. Therefore, four-strand braids can be generated by the elementary braids with representation

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i4\pi/5} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-i2\pi/5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\tau e^{-i\pi/5} & -\sqrt{\tau} e^{i2\pi/5} \\ -\sqrt{\tau} e^{i2\pi/5} & -\tau \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

-
- [1] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **303**, 2 (2003).
 - [2] M. Freedman, M. Larsen, and Z. Wang, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **227**, 605 (2002); M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, and Z. Wang, *ibid.* **227**, 587 (2002).
 - [3] M. H. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M. J. Larsen, and Z. Wang, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **40**, 31 (2003).
 - [4] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083 (2008).
 - [5] G. Moore and N. Read, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360**, 362 (1991).
 - [6] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen, and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys. B* **374**, 567 (1992).
 - [7] R. H. Morf, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1505 (1998).
 - [8] E. H. Rezayi and F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4685 (2000).
 - [9] X. Wan, K. Yang, and E. H. Rezayi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 256804 (2006).
 - [10] X. Wan, Z.-X. Hu, E. H. Rezayi, and K. Yang, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 165316 (2008).
 - [11] A. E. Feiguin, E. H. Rezayi, K. Yang, C. Nayak, and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 115322 (2009).
 - [12] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 8084 (1999).
 - [13] E. Ardonne and K. Schoutens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 5096 (1999).
 - [14] E. Ardonne, N. Read, E. Rezayi, and K. Schoutens, *Nucl. Phys. B* **607**, 549 (2001).
 - [15] P. Fendley and E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 024412 (2005).
 - [16] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **321**, 2 (2006).
 - [17] S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 220502(R) (2006).
 - [18] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys. B* **479**, 529 (1996).
 - [19] D. A. Ivanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 268 (2001).
 - [20] E. Ardonne and K. Schoutens, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 201 (2007).
 - [21] S. Das Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 166802 (2005).
 - [22] M. Freedman, C. Nayak, and K. Walker, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 245307 (2006).
 - [23] P. Bonderson, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 010501 (2008).
 - [24] N. E. Bonesteel, L. Hormozi, G. Zikos, and S. H. Simon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 140503 (2005).
 - [25] L. Hormozi, G. Zikos, N. E. Bonesteel, and S. H. Simon, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 165310 (2007).
 - [26] H. Xu and X. Wan, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 042325 (2008).
 - [27] L. Hormozi, Ph.D thesis, Florida State University (2007).
 - [28] J. S. Xia, W. Pan, C. L. Vicente, E. D. Adams, N. S. Sullivan, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 176809 (2004).
 - [29] The distance (sometimes referred as error) between two gates (or their matrix representations) U and V is the operator norm distance $d(U, V) \equiv \|U - V\| = \sup_{\|\psi\|=1} \|(U - V)\psi\|$.
 - [30] L. Hormozi, N. E. Bonesteel, and S. H. Simons, *arXiv:0903.2239*.
 - [31] J. Preskill, *Lecture Notes on Topological Quantum Computation*; available online at www.theory.caltech.edu/~preskill/ph219/topological.pdf.
 - [32] There is a cancellation of 12 anyon interchanges when G_2 and G_1^+ join.
 - [33] C. M. Dawson and M. A. Nielsen, *Quant. Info. Comp.* **6**, 81 (2006).
 - [34] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
 - [35] H. Xu, Master's thesis, Zhejiang University (2009).